



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/894,331	06/28/2001	Anders Hejlsberg	MS180586.01/MSFTP298US	6467
27195	7590	02/10/2009	EXAMINER	
AMIN, TUROCY & CALVIN, LLP 127 Public Square 57th Floor, Key Tower CLEVELAND, OH 44114				RUTTEN, JAMES D
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
2192				
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/10/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

docket1@thepatentattorneys.com
hholmes@thepatentattorneys.com
lpasterchek@thepatentattorneys.com

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS
AND INTERFERENCES

Ex parte: ANDERS HEJLSBERG, CHRISTOPHER J. LOVETT,
MATTHEW J. WARREN, CHIA-HSUN CHEN,
MARK W. FUSELL and NEETU RAJPAL

Application No. 09/894,331
Technology Center 2100

Mailed: 9 February 2009

Before KRISTA ZELE *Deputy Chief Appeals Administrator.*

ZELE, *Deputy Chief Appeals Administrator.*

ORDER RETURNING UNDOCKETED APPEAL TO EXAMINER

This application was electronically received by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences on December 12, 2008. A review of the application revealed that it is not ready for docketing as an appeal. Accordingly, the application is herewith being returned to the Examiner to address the following matter(s) requiring attention prior to docketing.

Application No. 09/894,331

AMENDMENT AFTER FINAL

A review of the file indicates that on September 6, 2007, Appellant filed an Amendment after Final in response to the Final Rejection mailed July 6, 2007. It is not clear whether this Amendment has been considered because an Advisory Action has not been mailed. Clarification from the Examiner is required.

Accordingly, it is ORDERED that the application is returned to the Examiner:

- (1) to appropriately respond to the Amendment After Final;
- (2) provide a PTOL-90 including a correct “Status of Amendment” section; and
- (3) for such further action as may be appropriate.

KZ/yr

AMIN, TUROCY & CALVIN, LLP
127 PUBLIC SQUARE
57TH FLOOR, KEY TOWER
CLEVELAND, OH 44114